

## References

### M-76

1. Hillman RJ, Cuming T, Darragh T, et al. 2016 IANS International guidelines for practice standards in the detection of anal cancer precursors *J Low Genit Tract Dis*. 2016;283-291.
2. Goon P, Morrison V, Fearnhead N, et al. High resolution anoscopy may be useful in achieving reductions in anal cancer local disease failure rates. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2015;411-416.
3. Hillman RJ, Gunathilake MPW, Jin F, et al. Ability to detect high-grade squamous anal intraepithelial lesions at high resolution anoscopy improves over time. *Sex Health*. 2016;177-181.
4. Schofield AM, Sadler L, Nelson L, et al. A prospective study of anal cancer screening in HIV-positive and negative MSM. *AIDS*. 2016 30(9):1375-1383.
5. Agsalda-Garcia M, Shieh T, Chuang E, et al. Human papillomavirus-16 DNA quantitation differentiate high-grade anal neoplasia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15:1690.
6. Kaufman E, de Castro C, Williamson T, et al. Acceptability of anal cancer screening tests for women living with HIV in the EVVA study. *Curr Oncology*. 2020;27(1):19.
7. Albuquerque A, Sheaff M, Stirrup O, et al. Performance of anal cytology compared with high-resolution anoscopy and histology in women with lower anogenital tract neoplasia. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;67(8):1262-8.
8. Nowak RG, Nnaji CH, Dauda W, et al. Satisfaction with high-resolution anoscopy for anal cancer screening among men who have sex with men: a cross-sectional survey in Abuja, Nigeria. *BMC cancer*. 2020;20(1):98.
9. Crawshaw BP, Russ AJ, Stein SL, et al. High-resolution anoscopy or expectant management for anal intraepithelial neoplasia for the prevention of anal cancer: is there really a difference? *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2015;58(1):53-9.