

References

S-5085

1. Kucharzyk DW. A controlled prospective outcome study of implantable electrical stimulation with spinal instrumentation in a high-risk spinal fusion population. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Mar 01 1999; 24(5): 465-8; discussion 469. PMID 10084185
2. Rogozinski A, Rogozinski C. Efficacy of implanted bone growth stimulation in instrumented lumbosacral spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Nov 01 1996; 21(21): 2479-83. PMID 8923635
3. Andersen T, Christensen FB, Egund N, et al. The effect of electrical stimulation on lumbar spinal fusion in older patients: a randomized, controlled, multi-center trial: part 2: fusion rates. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Oct 01 2009; 34(21): 2248-53. PMID 19934803
4. Andersen T, Christensen FB, Langdahl BL, et al. Fusion mass bone quality after uninstrumented spinal fusion in older patients. *Eur Spine J*. Dec 2010; 19(12): 2200-8. PMID 20429017
5. Goodwin CB, Brighton CT, Guyer RD, et al. A double-blind study of capacitively coupled electrical stimulation as an adjunct to lumbar spinal fusions. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Jul 01 1999; 24(13): 1349-56; discussion 1357. PMID 10404578
6. Mooney V. A randomized double-blind prospective study of the efficacy of pulsed electromagnetic fields for interbody lumbar fusions. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Jul 1990; 15(7): 708-12. PMID 2218718
7. Linovitz RJ, Pathria M, Bernhardt M, et al. Combined magnetic fields accelerate and increase spine fusion: a double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Jul 01 2002; 27(13): 1383-9; discussion 1389. PMID 12131732
8. Gaston MS, Simpson AH. Inhibition of fracture healing. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Dec 2007; 89(12): 1553-60. PMID 18057352
9. Pountos I, Georgouli T, Blokhuis TJ, et al. Pharmacological agents and impairment of fracture healing: what is the evidence?. *Injury*. Apr 2008; 39(4): 384-94. PMID 18316083
10. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Electrical bone growth stimulation in association with spinal fusion surgery (noninvasive method). *TEC Evaluations*. 1993:1-12.
11. Foley KT, Mroz TE, Arnold PM, et al. Randomized, prospective, and controlled clinical trial of pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for cervical fusion. *Spine J*. May-Jun 2008; 8(3): 436-42. PMID 17983841

12. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data: Cervical-Stim Model 505L Cervical Fusion System. 2004; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf3/P030034b.pdf. Accessed March 11, 2022.
13. Coric D, Bullard DE, Patel VV, et al. Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation may improve fusion rates in cervical arthrodesis in high-risk populations. *Bone Joint Res.* Feb 2018; 7(2): 124-130. PMID 29437635
14. North American Spine Society (NASS). NASS Coverage Policy Recommendations: Electrical Stimulation for Bone Healing. 2016; <https://www.spine.org/PolicyPractice/CoverageRecommendations/AboutCoverageRecommendations.aspx>. Accessed March 11, 2022.
15. Kaiser MG, Eck JC, Groff MW, et al. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 17: bone growth stimulators as an adjunct for lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Jul 2014; 21(1): 133-9. PMID 24980594
16. Resnick DK, Choudhri TF, Dailey AT, et al. Guidelines for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 17: bone growth stimulators and lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Jun 2005; 2(6): 737-40. PMID 16028745
17. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination for Osteogenic Stimulators (150.2). 2005; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=65&ncdver=2&DocID=150.2>. Accessed March 11, 2022.