

References

S-5145

1. Epstein NE. Laminectomy for cervical myelopathy. *Spinal Cord*. Jun 2003;41(6):317-327. PMID 12746738.
2. Katz, NN, Paillard, FF, Ekman, EE. Determining the clinical importance of treatment benefits for interventions for painful orthopedic conditions. *J Orthop Surg Res*, 2015 Feb 4;10:24. PMID 25645576.
3. Parker, SS, Mendenhall, SS, Shau, DD, Adogwa, OO, Anderson, WW, Devin, CC, McGirt, MM. Minimum clinically important difference in pain, disability, and quality of life after neural decompression and fusion for same-level recurrent lumbar stenosis: understanding clinical versus statistical significance. *J Neurosurg Spine*, 2012 Feb 14;16(5). PMID 22324801.
4. Katz, JJ. Surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: informed patient preferences should weigh heavily. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 2015 Apr 7;162(7). PMID 25844999.
5. Zaina, FF, Tomkins-Lane, CC, Carragee, EE, Negrini, SS. Surgical versus non-surgical treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2016 Jan 30;CD010264(1). PMID 26824399.
6. Weinstein, JJ, Tosteson, TT, Lurie, JJ, Tosteson, AA, Blood, EE, Herkowitz, HH, Cammisa, FF, Albert, TT, Boden, SS, Hilibrand, AA, Goldberg, HH, Berven, SS, An, HH. Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis four-year results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial. *Spine*, 2010 May 11;35(14). PMID 20453723.
7. Lurie, JJ, Tosteson, TT, Tosteson, AA, Abdu, WW, Zhao, WW, Morgan, TT, Weinstein, JJ. Long-term outcomes of lumbar spinal stenosis: eight-year results of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *Spine*, 2015 Jan 9;40(2). PMID 25569524.
8. Slätis, PP, Malmivaara, AA, Heliövaara, MM, Sainio, PP, Herno, AA, Kankare, JJ, Seitsalo, SS, Tallroth, KK, Turunen, VV, Knekt, PP, Hurri, HH. Long-term results of surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Spine J*, 2011 Jan 18;20(7). PMID 21240530.
9. Malmivaara, AA, Slätis, PP, Heliövaara, MM, Sainio, PP, Kinnunen, HH, Kankare, JJ, Dalin-Hirvonen, NN, Seitsalo, SS, Herno, AA, Kortekangas, PP, Niinimäki, TT, Rönty, HH, Tallroth, KK, Turunen, VV, Knekt, PP, Härkänen, TT, Hurri, HH. Surgical or nonoperative treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis? A randomized controlled trial. *Spine*, 2007 Jan 5;32(1). PMID 17202885.
10. Amundsen, TT, Weber, HH, Nordal, HH, Magnaes, BB, Abdelnoor, MM, Lilleås, FF. Lumbar spinal stenosis: conservative or surgical management?: A prospective 10-year study. *Spine*, 2000 Jun 1;25(11). PMID 10828926.

11. Atlas, SS, Keller, RR, Wu, YY, Deyo, RR, Singer, DD. Long-term outcomes of surgical and nonsurgical management of lumbar spinal stenosis: 8 to 10 year results from the maine lumbar spine study. *Spine*, 2005 Apr 19;30(8). PMID 15834339.
12. Delitto, AA, Piva, SS, Moore, CC, Fritz, JJ, Wisniewski, SS, Josbeno, DD, Fye, MM, Welch, WW. Surgery versus nonsurgical treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomized trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 2015 Apr 7;162(7). PMID 25844995.
13. Kadanka Z, Mares M, Bednanik J, et al. Approaches to spondylotic cervical myelopathy: conservative versus surgical results in a 3-year follow-up study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Oct 15 2002;27(20):2205-2210; discussion 2210-2201. PMID 12394893.
14. Fehlings MG, Wilson JR, Kopjar B, et al. Efficacy and safety of surgical decompression in patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy: results of the AOSpine North America prospective multi-center study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Sep 18 2013;95(18):1651-1658. PMID 24048552.
15. Liu FY, Yang SD, Huo LS, et al. Laminoplasty versus laminectomy and fusion for multilevel cervical compressive myelopathy: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Jun 2016;95(23):e3588. PMID 27281067.
16. Phan K, Scherman DB, Xu J, et al. Laminectomy and fusion vs laminoplasty for multi-level cervical myelopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J*. Jan 2017;26(1):94-103. PMID 27342611.
17. Singhatanadgige W, Limthongkul W, Valone F, 3rd, et al. Outcomes following laminoplasty or laminectomy and fusion in patients with myelopathy caused by ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a systematic review. *Global Spine J*. Nov 2016;6(7):702-709. PMID 27781191.
18. Nakashima H, Tetreault L, Nagoshi N, et al. Comparison of outcomes of surgical treatment for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament versus other forms of degenerative cervical myelopathy: results from the prospective, multicenter AOSpine CSM-International Study of 479 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Mar 02 2016;98(5):370-378. PMID 26935459.
19. Kommu R, Sahu BP, Purohit AK. Surgical outcome in patients with cervical ossified posterior longitudinal ligament: A single institutional experience. *Asian J Neurosurg*. Oct-Dec 2014;9(4):196-202. PMID 25685216.
20. Lee CH, Jahng TA, Hyun SJ, et al. Expansive laminoplasty versus laminectomy alone versus laminectomy and fusion for cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: is there a difference in the clinical outcome and sagittal alignment? *Clin Spine Surg*. Feb 2016;29(1):E9-E15. PMID 25075990.

21. Zong S, Zeng G, Xiong C, et al. Treatment results in the differential surgery of intradural extramedullary schwannoma of 110 cases. *PLoS One*. Jun 2013;8(5):e63867. PMID 23724010.
22. Tredway TL, Santiago P, Hrubes MR, et al. Minimally invasive resection of intradural-extramedullary spinal neoplasms. *Neurosurgery*. Feb 2006;58(1 Suppl):ONS52-58; discussion ONS52-58. PMID 16479629.
23. Piccolo R, Passanisi M, Chiamonte I, et al. Cervical spinal epidural abscesses. A report on five cases. *J Neurosurg Sci*. Mar 1999;43(1):63-67. PMID 10494668.
24. North American Spine Society. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care. Diagnosis and treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis. 2011. Available at:
<https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LumbarStenosis.pdf>. Accessed May 18, 2019.