

References

S-187

1. Zigler J, Delamarter R. Fewer secondary surgeries following ProDisc-C after ACDF at 7 years: analysis of multiple covariates in the US-FDA-IDE multi-center study. *Cervical Spine Research Society*. 2012.
2. Park C, Ryu K, Lee K, et al. Clinical outcome of lumbar total disc replacement using ProDisc-L in degenerative disc disease: minimum 5-year follow-up results at a single institute. *The Spine Journal*. 2012;37(8):672-677.
3. Zigler J, Delamarter R. Five-year results of the prospective, randomized, multicenter, Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement versus circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of single-level degenerative disc disease. *Journal of Neurosurgery; Spine*. 2012;17(6):493-501.
4. Singh K, Phillips FM, Park DK, et al. Factors affecting reoperations after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion within and outside of a federal drug administration investigational device exemption cervical disc replacement trial. *Spine J*. 2012 May;12(5):372-8.
5. Coppes M, Bakker N, Metzemaekers, Groen R. Posterior transdural discectomy: a new approach for the removal of a central thoracic disc herniation. *Eur Spine J*. Apr 2012;21(4):623-628.
6. Murrey, D, Zigler J, Delamarter R, et al. Seven-year results of the ProDisc-C multicenter randomized clinical trial. *The Spine Journal*. 2012;12(9):S61-S62. (Abstract).
7. Nica D, Copaciu R. Cervical arthroplasty using ProDisc-C Case Report. *Journal of Medicine and Life*. 2013;6(1):97-98.
8. InterQual® Level of Care Criteria. *Acute Care Adult*. McKesson Health Solutions, LLC.
9. Meir A, Freeman B, Fraser R, et al. Ten-year survival and clinical outcome of the AcroFlex lumbar disc replacement for the treatment of symptomatic disc degeneration. *The Spine Journal*. 2013;13:13-21.
10. Eisner W. First and only two-level cervical disc approved in U.S. *Orthopedics This Week*. August 27, 2013.
11. Blumenthal S, Ohnmeiss D, Guyer R, et al. Long-term evaluation of reoperation rates for lumbar total disc replacement and fusion: Analysis of 1,237 patients. *The Spine Journal*. September 2013;13(9):95S.
12. Davis RJ, Kim KD, Hisey MS, et al. Cervical total disc replacement with the Mobi-C cervical artificial disc compared with anterior discectomy and fusion for treatment of 2-level symptomatic degenerative disc disease: A prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter clinical trial: clinical article. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2013;19(5):532-45.
13. Sköld C, Tropp H, Berg S. Five-year follow-up of total disc replacement compared to fusion: a randomized controlled trial. *European Spine Journal*. October 2013;22(10):2288-2295.
14. Wei J, Song Y, Sun L, et al. Comparison of artificial total disc replacement versus fusion for lumbar degenerative disc disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Orthopaedics*. July 2013;37(7):1315-1325.

15. Delamarter, R, Zigler J. Five-year reoperation rates, cervical total disc replacement versus fusion, results of a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Spine*. 2013;38(9):711-717.
16. Huppert J, Beaurain J, Steib JP, et al. Comparison between single- and multi-level patients: clinical and radiological outcomes 2 years after cervical disc replacement. *Eur Spine J*. 2011; 20(9):1417-1426
17. Beaurain J, Bernard P, Dufour T, et al. Intermediate clinical and radiological results of cervical TDR (Mobi-C) with up to 2 years of follow-up. *Eur Spine J*. 2009;18(6):841-50
18. Skeppholm M, Oleud C. Comparison of dysphagia between cervical artificial disc replacement and fusion: data from a randomized controlled study with two years of follow-up. *Spine*. 2013; 38(24): E1507-E1510
19. Davis R, Nunley PD, Kim KD, et al. Two-level total disc replacement with Mobi-C cervical artificial disc versus anterior discectomy and fusion: A prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter clinical trial with 4-year follow-up results. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2014;(11);1-11.
20. North American Spine Society (NASS). 2014. Cervical artificial disc replacement.
21. North American Spine Society (NASS). 2014. Lumbar artificial disc replacement.
22. Ament J, Yang Z, Nunley P, et al. Cost-effectiveness of cervical total disc replacement vs fusion for the treatment of 2-level symptomatic degenerative disc disease. *JAMA Surgery*. 2014;149:1231-1239
23. Alvin M, Mroz T. The Mobi-C cervical disc for one-level and two-level cervical disc replacement: A review of the literature. *Medical Devices: Evidence and Research*. 2014;7:397-403.
24. Hisey M, Bae H, Davis R, et al. Multi-center, prospective, randomized, controlled investigational device exemption clinical trial comparing Mobi-C cervical artificial disc to anterior discectomy and fusion in the treatment of symptomatic degenerative disc disease in the cervical spine. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2014;8:7.
25. Medical Treatment Guidelines. Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Diagnosis and Treatment of Cervical Radiculopathy and Myelopathy. 2014.
26. Burkus, J, Mummaneni P, Traynelis V et al. Clinical and radiographic analysis of an artificial cervical disc: Seven-year outcomes. *International Society of the Advancement of Spine Surgery*. (Abstract). 2014;21(4)516-528.
27. Thavaneswaran P, Vandeppeer M. Lumbar artificial intervertebral disc replacement: a systematic review. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*. 2014;84(3):121-127.
28. Chen L, Li K. Effect of cervical artificial disc replacement on adjacent inferior intervertebral space stress. *The West Indian Medical Journal*. 2014;63(2):172-175.
29. Zhang J, et al. Hybrid Surgery versus Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion in Multilevel Cervical Disc. *Medicine(Baltimore)*. 2016;95(21):1-8.
30. Ji Gyu et al. Artificial Disc Replacement Combined with Fusion versus 2-Level Fusion in Cervical 2-Level Disc Disease with a 5-Year Follow-up. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2016;30(5);E620-627

31. Tain P, Fu X, Li Z-J, Sun X, Ma X-L. Hybrid Surgery versus anterior cervical discectomy and fusion for multilevel cervical degenerative disc diseases: a meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*. 2015;5:13434.
32. Joaquim A, Riew K. Multilevel cervical arthroplasty: current evidence: a systematic review. *J Neuro* [serial online]. 2017;42(2):1.
33. Davis R, et al. Cervical total disc replacement with the Mobi-C cervical artificial disc compared with anterior discectomy and fusion for treatment of 2-level symptomatic degenerative disc disease: a prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter clinical trial. *J Neuro: Spine*. 2013;19(5):532-545.
34. Radcliff K, Coric D, Albert T. Five-year clinical results of cervical total disc replacement compared with anterior discectomy and fusion for treatment of 2-level symptomatic degenerative disc disease: a prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter investigational device exemption clinical trial. *J Neuro: Spine*. 2016;25(2):213-223.
35. Aghayev E, Etter C, Röder C, et al. Five-year results of lumbar disc prostheses in the SWISSspine registry. *Eur Spine J*. 2014;23(10):2114-2126.
36. Siepe C, Heider F, Mayer M, et al. Influence of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration on the outcome of total lumbar disc replacement: a prospective clinical, histological, X-ray and MRI investigation. *Eur Spine J*. 2012;21(11):2287-2299.
37. Nie H, Chen G, Wang X, Zeng J. Comparison of Total Disc Replacement with lumbar fusion: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of The College of Physicians and Surgeons--Pakistan: JCPSP* [serial online]. 2015;25(1):60-67.