

References

S-5080

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center. Metal-on-metal total hip resurfacing. TEC Assessments. 2007;Vol 22:Tab 3.
2. Vendittoli PA, Lavigne M, Roy AG, et al. A prospective randomized clinical trial comparing metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty and metal-on-metal total hip resurfacing in patients less than 65 years old. *Hip Int. Jan 2006;16(Suppl 4):73-81.* PMID 19219833
3. Food and Drug Administration. P040033: Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR) System. 2006; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf4/p040033a.pdf. Accessed February 22, 2018.
4. Australian Orthopedic Association. National Joint Replacement Registry Annual Report. Adelaide, Australia: AOA; 2006.
5. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Modern metal-on-metal hip implants: A technology overview. 2011; <http://www.aaos.org/news/aaosnow/jan12/cover1.asp>. Accessed February 22, 2018.
6. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Metal-on-Metal Hip Implants: FDA Safety Communication. 2013; <http://wayback.archive-it.org/7993/20170722215742/https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/ucm335775.htm>. Accessed March 26, 2018.
7. Nunley RM, Della Valle CJ, Barrack RL. Is patient selection important for hip resurfacing? *Clin Orthop Relat Res. Jan 2009;467(1):56-65.* PMID 18941859
8. Marker DR, Strimbu K, McGrath MS, et al. Resurfacing versus conventional total hip arthroplasty - review of comparative clinical and basic science studies. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis. Jul 2009;67(2):120-127.* PMID 19583538
9. Jiang Y, Zhang K, Die J, et al. A systematic review of modern metal-on-metal total hip resurfacing vs standard total hip arthroplasty in active young patients. *J Arthroplasty. Apr 2011;26(3):419-426.* PMID 20851564
10. Quesada MJ, Marker DR, Mont MA. Metal-on-metal hip resurfacing: advantages and disadvantages. *J Arthroplasty. Oct 2008;23(7 Suppl):69-73.* PMID 18922377
11. Haddad FS, Konan S, Tahmassebi J. A prospective comparative study of cementless total hip arthroplasty and hip resurfacing in patients under the age of 55 years: a ten-year follow-up. *Bone Joint J. May 2015;97-B(5):617-622.* PMID 25922454
12. Mont MA, Seyler TM, Ragland PS, et al. Gait analysis of patients with resurfacing hip arthroplasty compared with hip osteoarthritis and standard total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty. Jan 2007;22(1):100-108.* PMID 17197316
13. Lavigne M, Therrien M, Nantel J, et al. The John Charnley Award: The functional outcome of hip resurfacing and large-head THA is the same: a randomized, double-blind study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res. Feb 2010;468(2):326-336.* PMID 19543863
14. Garbuz DS, Tanzer M, Greidanus NV, et al. The John Charnley Award: Metal-on-metal hip resurfacing versus large-diameter head metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res. Feb 2010;468(2):318-325.* PMID 19697090

15. Azam MQ, McMahon S, Hawdon G, et al. Survivorship and clinical outcome of Birmingham hip resurfacing: a minimum ten years' follow-up. *Int Orthop*. Jan 2016;40(1):1-7. PMID 25820838
16. Daniel J, Pradhan C, Ziaee H, et al. Results of Birmingham hip resurfacing at 12 to 15 years: a single-surgeon series. *Bone Joint J*. Oct 2014;96-B(10):1298-1306. PMID 25274912
17. Murray DW, Grammatopoulos G, Pandit H, et al. The ten-year survival of the Birmingham hip resurfacing: an independent series. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Sep 2012;94(9):1180-1186. PMID 22933488
18. Matharu GS, McBryde CW, Pynsent WB, et al. The outcome of the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing in patients aged < 50 years up to 14 years post-operatively. *Bone Joint J*. Sep 2013;95-B(9):1172-1177. PMID 23997127
19. Pailhe R, Matharu GS, Sharma A, et al. Survival and functional outcome of the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing system in patients aged 65 and older at up to ten years of follow-up. *Int Orthop*. Jun 2014;38(6):1139-1145. PMID 24370976
20. Amstutz HC, Le Duff MJ, Campbell PA, et al. Clinical and radiographic results of metal-on-metal hip resurfacing with a minimum ten-year follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Nov 2010;92(16):2663-2671. PMID 21084576
21. Kim PR, Beaulé PE, Laflamme GY, et al. Causes of early failure in a multicenter clinical trial of hip resurfacing. *J Arthroplasty*. Sep 2008;23(6 Suppl 1):44-49. PMID 18722302
22. Nunley RM, Zhu J, Brooks PJ, et al. The learning curve for adopting hip resurfacing among hip specialists. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Feb 2010;468(2):382-391. PMID 19779950
23. Gross TP, Liu F, Webb LA. Clinical outcome of the metal-on-metal hybrid Corin Cormet 2000 Hip Resurfacing System: an up to 11-year follow-up study. *J Arthroplasty*. Apr 2012;27(4):533-538 e531. PMID 21908168
24. McGrath MS, Marker DR, Seyler TM, et al. Surface replacement is comparable to primary total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jan 2009;467(1):94-100. PMID 18797977
25. Ball ST, Le Duff MJ, Amstutz HC. Early results of conversion of a failed femoral component in hip resurfacing arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Apr 2007;89(4):735-741. PMID 17403794
26. de Steiger RN, Miller LN, Prosser GH, et al. Poor outcome of revised resurfacing hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop*. Feb 2010;81(1):72-76. PMID 20170416
27. Reito A, Puolakka T, Elo P, et al. Outcome of Birmingham hip resurfacing at ten years: role of routine whole blood metal ion measurements in screening for pseudotumours. *Int Orthop*. Nov 2014;38(11):2251-2257. PMID 25030963
28. Williams DH, Greidanus NV, Masri BA, et al. Prevalence of pseudotumor in asymptomatic patients after metal-on-metal hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Dec 7 2011;93(23):2164-2171. PMID 22159851
29. Kwon YM, Ostlere SJ, McLardy-Smith P, et al. "Asymptomatic" pseudotumors after metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty: prevalence and metal ion study. *J Arthroplasty*. Jun 2011;26(4):511-518. PMID 20591612
30. Steffen RT, Pandit HP, Palan J, et al. The five-year results of the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing arthroplasty: an independent series. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Apr 2008;90(4):436-441. PMID 18378915

31. Ollivere B, Darrah C, Barker T, et al. Early clinical failure of the Birmingham metal-on-metal hip resurfacing is associated with metallosis and soft-tissue necrosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Aug 2009;91(8):1025-1030. PMID 19651828
32. Mont MA, Seyler TM, Ulrich SD, et al. Effect of changing indications and techniques on total hip resurfacing. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Dec 2007;465:63-70. PMID 17891034
33. Grecula MJ. Resurfacing arthroplasty in osteonecrosis of the hip. *Orthop Clin North Am.* Apr 2005;36(2):231-242, x. PMID 15833461
34. Stulberg BN, Fitts SM, Zadzilka JD, et al. Resurfacing arthroplasty for patients with osteonecrosis. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis.* Jul 2009;67(2):138-141. PMID 19583542
35. Beaulé PE, Amstutz HC, Le Duff M, et al. Surface arthroplasty for osteonecrosis of the hip: hemiresurfacing versus metal-on-metal hybrid resurfacing. *J Arthroplasty.* Dec 2004;19(8 Suppl 3):54-58. PMID 15578554
36. Lombardi AV, Jr., Barrack RL, Berend KR, et al. The Hip Society: algorithmic approach to diagnosis and management of metal-on-metal arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Nov 2012;94(11 Suppl A):14-18. PMID 23118373
37. California Technology Assessment Forum. Metal on Metal Hip Resurfacing as an Alternative to Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Technology Assessment. 2011; http://icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/769_file_Hip_resurfacing_W.pdf. Accessed February 22, 2018.
38. McGrory B, Barrack R, Lachiewicz PF, et al. Modern metal-on-metal hip resurfacing. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* May 2010;18(5):306-314. PMID 20435881
39. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for end-stage arthritis of the hip [TA304]. 2014; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta304>. Accessed February 22, 2018.